From: Mehdi Raoufshahir
To: Plan Comment Mailbox
Subject: Planning Proposal Submission
Date: Thursday, 12 November 2020 2:07:29 PM

Title: 173-179 Walker Street and 11-17 Hampden Street
Number: PP_2020_NORTH_004_00
Statement: **Object**
Reasons:
* Negative effects on my apartment price for losing the views and losing the natural light especially during the sunrise and in the morning. I recently bought my apartment and by accepting this offer I'll lose all of my savings which I've already paid for buying my apartment.

North Sydney Council has already dismissed this project for the following reasons:

• the indicative building typology does not adequately respond to the existing development controls which apply to the subject R4 zoning and also notes that the extent of view analysis is inadequate and requires further refinement;

• The requested heights do not provide an appropriate transition of building heights from the existing CBD development to across the subject R4 zoned land and the heritage area;

• contrary to the objectives of the R4 zone in that it will 'compromise the amenity of the surrounding area or the natural or cultural heritage of the area' and will not 'ensure that a reasonably high level of residential amenity is achieved and maintained';

• contrary to the provisions of NSDCP 2013 in relation to residential flat building development and the Area Character Statement for the Hampden Neighbourhood;

• inconsistent with a number of objectives and actions under the relevant Regional and District strategies applying to the land;

• not adequately demonstrate that it will not result in the excessive overshadowing of adjoining dwellings;

• does not adequately demonstrate that it will not result in overshadowing of Doris Fitton Park;

• loss of views for surrounding apartments;

• the benefits of the Special Provisions Design have not been adequately demonstrated;

• the traffic information submitted does not adequately demonstrate that the proposal will not have an adverse impact on the local traffic network; and

• insufficient information has been provided in relation to the uplift in value from the proposed LEP amendments in order for Council to determine if the applicant's public benefit offer is reasonable."

Kind regards,
Mehdi Raoufshahir